	Case 2:15-cv-01349-BJR Documen	nt 69 Filed 04/25/16 Page 1 of 3	
1	1	Honorable Marsha J. Pechman	
2	$2 \parallel$		
3	3		
4	4		
5	5		
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE		
10	NORTHSHOPE SHEET METAL INC. Co	ase No. 2:15-cv-01349 MJP	
11	Plaintiff, D	ECLARATION OF	
12	2 v. SU	HRISTOPHER L. HILGENFELD IN UPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE O UNION'S MOTION TO COMPEL	
13 14	SHEET METAL WORKERS INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION, No.	oted on Motion Calendar: April 29, 2016	
15	Defendant.		
16		1 1 0 11	
17	I, CHRISTOPHER L. HILGENFELD, declare as follows:		
18	1. I am over the age of eighteen (18) years and I am competent to testify if		
19	called upon to do so.		
20	2. I am an attorney with Davis Grimm Payne & Marra, counsel of record for		
21	Plaintiff Northshore Sheet Metal, Inc. ("Northshore" or "Defendant"), and I make this		
22	declaration based upon my personal knowledge.		
23	3. On February 8, 2016, Defendant's 2 nd set of discovery was hand-delivered to		
24 25	my office. On March 9, 2016, my office served	d Plaintiff's responses via U.S. Mail.	

Hilgenfeld Declaration in Support of Response to Motion to Compel - Page 1 Case No. 15-cv-01349 MJP DAVIS GRIMM PAYNE & MARRA
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4040
Seattle, WA 98104
Ph. (206) 447-0182 • Fax: (206) 622-9927

- 4. On March 11, 2016, the Union's counsel, Bradley Medlin, inquired into the discovery responses and sought a Rule 26(f) conference.
- 5. On March 14, 2016, I responded to Mr. Medlin's request. Because the purpose of the rule 26(f) conference is to avoid needless motion practice and resolve any disputes, if possible, I asked that he review the discovery and provide an articulated basis for any perceived deficiency. I also defined scheduling problems I faced. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** is a true and correct copy of my letter to Mr. Medlin.
- 6. On March 18, 2016, I received Mr. Medlin's March 17, 2016, letter regarding alleged deficiencies.
- 7. I responded to Mr. Medlin's letter outlining my new availability. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 2** is a true and correct copy of my March 19, 2016 letter to Mr. Medlin. March 30, 2016, was no longer available. That date was now scheduled for bargaining a contract in Bellingham. The negotiations for that contract were nearing a conclusion and could not be delayed. Due to its sporadic nature, bargaining does not permit an opportunity to prepare and receive a Rule 26(f) conference during bargaining. I offered several other dates as possible times for a Rule 26(f) conference.
- 8. On March 25, 2016, I again responded to Mr. Medlin's demand that the Rule 26(f) conference occur that week. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 3** is a true and correct copy of my letter.
- 9. On Sunday, March 27, 2016, my schedule finally permitted an opportunity to respond to Mr. Medlin's discovery letter. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 4** is a true and correct copy of my letter of March 27, 2016. I offered a meeting the following Sunday as another compromise.

Case 2:15-cv-01349-BJR Document 69 Filed 04/25/16 Page 3 of 3

1	10. On March 29, 2016, I again responded to Mr. Medlin's demand. Attached	
2	hereto as Exhibit 5 is a true and correct copy of my March 29 letter.	
3	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington and the	
4	United States that the foregoing statements are true and accurate.	
5	Signed and dated at Seattle, Washington this 25 day of Apace, 2016.	
6		
7	(hthle)	
8	Christopher L. Hilgenfeld	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		